

**Meeting: ENVIRONMENT & ECONOMY
SELECT COMMITTEE**

Agenda Item: 3

Date: 24 MARCH 2015

2015/2016 SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME

Authors – Jackie Cansick Ext. 2216
Contributors – Stephen Weaver Ext. 2332
Lead Officers – Scott Crudgington Ext. 2185
Contact Officer – Stephen Weaver Ext. 2332

1. PURPOSE

- 1.1 To agree the Scrutiny Work Programme for the Select Committee for the new Municipal Year.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 That feedback from the Scrutiny Evaluation Questionnaire completed by Members be noted.
- 2.2 That having considered ideas put forward by individual Members from their Scrutiny Evaluation Survey through January and February 2015 (see section 5.2), the Committee determine the subject matter of its Scrutiny Study for 2015/2016.
- 2.3 That consideration be given to including in the work plan specific monitoring or review of recommendations from previous studies (see section 6.2).
- 2.4 That the Policy Development work identified so far for the Committee (see section 7.1) be noted.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 Scrutiny Committees are asked to draft their workplan ahead of the new Municipal year in order that work may begin as soon as the Committees are appointed at Annual Council. Any outstanding/unfinished studies, where applicable, might also need to be included.
- 3.2 During January and February 2015 Members provided feedback from the Scrutiny Evaluation and Work Programme Planning Survey that had been circulated for Scrutiny topics for the 2015/2016 Municipal Year.
- 3.3 When considering what work to undertake in the coming year Members may wish to consider if the matter in question is of a cross cutting nature and might lend itself to being considered jointly with another Select Committee.

- 3.4 Officers have also been requested to bring to the Committee's attention Policy Development items that the Select Committee might be requested to consider and comment on before reports thereon are submitted to the Executive.
- 3.5 The Committee may also consider whether specific time should be allocated for monitoring or review of recommendations of previous studies. It is recognised that there is a limited dedicated officer resource for the Scrutiny work of 3 Scrutiny Committees and therefore it is important to ensure that workplans are in place in order that the call on those resources and on each Committee's time on all its activities are prioritised and evenly spread across the year.
- 3.6 The draft calendar of meetings for 2015/2016 previously circulated to Members includes dates for meetings of Overview & Scrutiny Committee that are time critical as they are considering decisions taken by the Executive and Budget & Policy Framework matters. For other meetings of the Select Committees a number of dates have been reserved and once the workplans for each Committee have been drafted these specific dates can be allocated.

4. SCRUTINY – SUMMARY OF EVALUATION BY MEMBERS

- 4.1 In January and February 2015 all Members of Scrutiny Committees were provided with an Evaluation Questionnaire to gauge the positive aspects of Scrutiny work undertaken, how Scrutiny might be more effective and ideas for future studies. The following summary is based on the 8 replies received from the 10 Members of the Environment & Economy Select Committee that returned a survey. Overall there were 15 replies received from the 22 Members who are on one or more of the Council's Scrutiny Committees.
- 4.2 As part of the Survey, Members were asked what aspects of Scrutiny could be improved to provide a better service. By and large Members expressed satisfaction with the way Scrutiny is being undertaken but provided challenge around the following areas, with specific Member comments as follows:
- I know there are time constraints but sometimes more time is needed so a better evaluation can be achieved
 - More involvement of an opposition member, for example chairing OSC or a Select Committee even for one topic
 - I think the biggest problem is continuity. I have sat on at least two meetings this year, which discussed work commissioned several years ago by previous members of the committee that were out of date and where hardly anyone on the committee had comments to make. I think those reports could be for noting.
 - The minutes should be more detailed.
 - Before new Committee members start I would be beneficial if they have a short meeting prior to the first Committee meeting to update them on the current position of that group, as it would enable them to understand where the group is at in the process of a Scrutiny
 - Better communication to members of select committees

- Need to re-visit past reviews to see what changes have actually been made
- Briefing prior to the scrutiny beginning so members are aware of the issues around the scrutiny subject would I hope focus the committee to ask pertinent questions while the committee is sitting. These briefing could also include packs signposting members where to find further information
- More support for the Scrutiny Officer
- Concerned that the recommendations for the review into training and employment opportunities for young people had not been carried out
- I feel that there needs to be de-brief sessions following the scrutiny work meetings in order that the members appraise their own performance and share what they hope to come from the scrutiny – in terms of what they have contributed and how they may follow up any matters arising. When topics are in the plan that members are not familiar with there needs to be a more formal way of being informed well before the meeting which would avoid members arriving to the meeting and asking questions that would have been better answered prior to the meeting. This would leave the scrutiny meeting to focus on the progressive rather than reflective stance that sometimes prevails
- The amount of paperwork at O&S is still huge and Members get muddled by it – providing page numbering has been helpful

4.3 Following a similar process in February 2014, Members identified issues for improvement. For Members assistance the following progress against these suggestions are presented in the table below:

You said	We did
More support for the admin / investigation side of the Scrutiny Section	Given the pressures on resources, it is not possible, nor likely in the future that there would be capacity to provide further dedicated admin / investigation support for scrutiny. All meetings are now clerked which is an improvement on the position of some years ago.
That reviews be conducted in more detail with more meetings but understand that resources & officer time are limited so could restrict this	Given the reduction in the overall number of Scrutiny Committees in recent years it is now possible to spend more time (with more meetings) on reviews
The Portfolio Holders should have no influence during deliberations	The Scrutiny Officer is not aware of any circumstances during the current Municipal Year where any Portfolio Holder has had any direct influence over scrutiny deliberations. Executive Portfolio holders only attend meetings when invited.

Continued monitoring of previous reviews	During the 2014/15 Municipal Year monitoring of previous review recommendations was undertaken by the two Select Committees. The Annual Scrutiny Report to Council will provide full detail.
Allow an opposition Member to Chair one Select Committee	This matter is determined by the Majority Group and therefore not something that officers can influence.
Use a "4 C's methodology of Best Value Reviews (Challenge / Compare / Consult / Compete) as a template for relevant service reviews	This methodology, although not without merit, is perhaps not wholly relevant to the way reviews are undertaken, specifically "compete" which is not relevant to Scrutiny. Reviews do however involve an element of challenge and comparison with the use of a "critical friend" in reviews.
Allow time to ensure a thorough review is completed	As stated above, with fewer Committees it is now possible to complete reviews in more depth.
Provide more questioning skills & scrutiny training for newer Members	A training session was provide to Scrutiny Members by South East Employers on 23 July 2014. This will be revisited in 2015/16.
Find out what third party contractors are doing when carrying out a service on behalf of the Council	This very much depends what the subject matter of a review might be. However, the review into Decent Homes involved a degree of close scrutiny of the external contractors who delivered the service.
That information from officers (in some cases) could be provided in a more timely fashion to help Members undertake their review	Officer responses to information requests have been received consistently in a timely manner.
That the Scrutiny Officer continues to make sure that issues from previous reviews are not lost when the Committee structure changes	As stated above, monitoring of previous review recommendations and Executive responses have continued to be undertaken by the appropriate Select Committee.

5. POTENTIAL SCRUTINY TOPICS FOR 2015/2016

- 5.1 Work Programme Schedule for 2015/16. When the Scrutiny Work Programme is agreed by the Environment & Economy Select Committee the Scrutiny Officer will, using the agreed dates for generic Select Committee meetings in the Calendar of Meetings, draw together a work plan schedule for the 2015/16 Municipal Year which will be circulated to Members, and electronic diary invites will be sent to all CSC Members.

5.2 Following the canvassing of Members through January and February 2015 the following topics have been suggested as potential scrutiny review items:

- Frequency of emptying of litter/dog bins
- Recycling
- Facilities in open spaces (bins to benches to play equipment)
- Employment advice, training and skills for young people
- Fly tipping, and how SBC interacts with other agencies i.e. Environment Agency
- Environmental Law (EU Regulations) and how SBC interprets them
- Large Recycling containers around shopping precincts e.g. The Oval Car park. Are they in the best position?
- Green Travel Plan
- Opportunities for encouraging more biodiversity in SBC verges, through the cultivation of wild grass meadow flowers along selected verges
- Herts Highways – Scrutiny of the repairs to main roads in Stevenage such as Six Hills Way and Fairlands Way
- Litter Picking
- Collection of recycling from small centres i.e. car parks

5.3 The Head of Planning, Regeneration and Transport has suggested the following issue as a potential Scrutiny review item:

Business Technology Centre – ensuring that support is being targeted at start-ups and that there is appropriate coverage across sectors. Also, how are we supporting 'grow-on' business – are we being effective or has BTC become too cosy for established businesses?

5.4 In June 2013 the Environment and Economy Select Committee agreed to Scrutinise the Energy Management of SBC Buildings during the 2013/14 Municipal Year. However, this review was not undertaken so Members are invited to consider this again as a potential item for the Committee's Work Programme for 2015/16.

6. MONITORING/REVIEW OF RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 The Committee may consider there is a need to undertake some follow-up work on recommendations arising from previous studies. It may be considered sufficient to simply request update briefings from the relevant Heads of Service to be circulated to Members at appropriate intervals. However, if the Committee requires more detailed consideration or examination of the progress of previous recommendations this should be factored into its workplan.

6.2 Reports within the remit of this Committee that have been issued over the last four years including those that have previously been revisited are as follows:

- Residential Parking – Use of Garage Compounds (Completed March 2012)

- Fixed Penalty Notices (Dog Fouling) (Completed January 2013)
- Refuse & Recycling (Completed January 2014)
- Inward Investment Opportunities & Business Support (Completed June 2012 and revisited in February 2015)
- Training & Employment Opportunities for Young People (Completed February 2013 and revisited in December 2014)

7. POLICY DEVELOPMENT WORK FOR 2015/2016

- 7.1 Following consultation with the Strategic Director (Environment) and the Heads of Service for Planning, Regeneration and Transport; Property and Estates; and Environmental Services no specific matters have yet been identified for potential Policy Development to be undertaken with the Portfolio Holders for Environment & Regeneration and Economy, Enterprise and Transport during the Municipal Year for 2015/2016.
- 7.2 If any matters are subsequently identified by officers Members will be notified and a meeting invitation sent to Members in due course.

8 IMPLICATIONS

8.1 Financial Implications

There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations in this report.

A small budget is held to support the work of the seven Committees in their research and study.

8.2 Legal Implications

The role of Scrutiny and Overview Committees is set out in the Local Government Act 2000. The recommendations made in this report are to facilitate the Committees for fully undertake this role.

8.3 Equalities and Diversity Implications

There are no direct Equalities and Diversity implications arising from the recommendations in this report. Specific Equalities and Diversity Implications are considered during each scrutiny review.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

- Evaluation Questionnaire – January 2015

APPENDICES

None